NOMADACRIS UVAROV, 1923 (INSECTA, ORTHOPTERA): PROPOSED CONSERVATION BY SETTING ASIDE THE FIRST-REVISER ACTION OF JAGO, 1981. Z.N.(S.)2525

By K. H. L. Key (Division of Entomology, CSIRO, Canberra) and N. D. Jago (Tropical Development and Research Institute, London)

Following an extended discussion in the Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, the International Commission in its Opinion 998 (1973, Bull. zool. Nom. vol. 30, pp. 77–79) designated a neotype for Gryllus Locusta succinctus Linnaeus, 1763. The effect of this action was to preserve the name Patanga succincta (Linnaeus) for use in its accustomed sense for a species of economic significance in southern Asia. The generic names Patanga Uvarov, 1923 and Valanga Uvarov, 1923, with stated type species, were

placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.

2. Uvarov (1923) had established 19 new generic names in the tribe 'CYRTACANTHACRINI' of the family ACRIDIDAE. Those established on p. 143, in addition to Patanga and Valanga, included Nomadacris and Austracris. The group concerned, now treated as the subfamily CYRTACANTHACRIDINAE, includes also the older genera Cyrtacanthacris Walker, 1870 and Schistocerca Stâl, 1873. Dirsh (1979) synonymised under Cyrtacanthacris the names Valanga, Patanga, Austracris, and Nomadacris, but this action was contested on taxonomic grounds by Jago (1981), who accepted the last three as congeneric, but regarded Cyrtacanthacris and Valanga as distinct. Patanga, Austracris, and Nomadacris had been published in the same work on the same day. As first reviser, Jago gave precedence to Patanga by selecting it as the valid name for the genus. This selection has had the unfortunate consequence of changing the generic name of one of the most serious pests of agriculture in Africa, the Red Locust, universally known since 1923 as Nomadacris septemfasciata (Audinet-Serville, [1838], p. 661).

3. Not only is the Red Locust a much more serious pest than the Bombay Locust' (Patanga succincta), but it has given rise to a literature much more extensive. During the 30 years from 1950 to 1979 inclusive, the Review of Applied Entomology (A) listed 114 literature references to Nomadacris, as against only 18 to Patanga. Replacement of Nomadacris by Patanga would be a source of serious confusion in the field of economic entomology and of hostility and cynicism on the part of economic entomologists, especially in Africa. We are in agreement that the situation calls for action by the International Commission under its plenary powers to set aside the first-reviser selection of Jago (1981) and to declare that the name Nomadacris is to have precedence over Patanga and Austracris as well as all of the other new generic names published by Uvarov (1923) in the event of any of those names being treated as synonymous with Nomadacris. None of

the names concerned has anything approaching the usage of *Nomadacris*. The considerations supporting such a course of action are completely in line with those that largely motivated the Commission in reaching its decision under Opinion 998, but are even more compelling. A consequence would be that the Bombay Locust would come to be known as *Nomadacris succincta* instead of *Patanga succincta*, but the evidence of usage adduced above suggests that this would be a small price to pay.

4. We accordingly ask the International Commission on Zoological

Nomenclature:

(1) to use its plenary powers:

 (a) to set aside the first-reviser action of Jago, 1981, whereby the name *Patanga* Uvarov, 1923 gained priority over *Nomadacris* Uvarov, 1923 and *Austracris* Uvarov, 1923;

(b) to rule that the name *Nomadacris* has priority over any and all of the new generic names published by Uvaroy, 1923

that may be treated as synonymous with it;

(2) to place the following generic name on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology: Nomadacris Uvarov, 1923 (gender: feminine), type species by original designation Acridium septemfasciatum Audinet-Serville, [1838], with the indication that the name has precedence over every other new generic name published by Uvarov (1923);

(3) to place the following specific name on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology: septemfasciatum Audinet-Serville, [1838], as published in the binomen Acridium septemfasciatum

(type species of Nomadacris Uvarov, 1923).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful for advice from Dr W. D. L. Ride concerning the presentation of this application.

REFERENCES

AUDINET-SERVILLE, J. G. [1838]. Histoire Naturelle des Insectes. Orthoptères. Paris.

DIRSH, V. M. 1979. The species and synonymy of the genus Cyrtacanthacris

(Orth., Acrididae). Eos, vol. 53: pp. 35-50.

JAGO, N. D. 1981. The genus *Nomadacris* Uvarov, 1923 and its recent incorrect synonymy under *Cyrtacanthacris* Walker, 1870 (Acrididae, Cyrtacanthacridinae), with new nomenclatural changes in the *Patanga-Nomadacris-Austracris* complex. *Plant Prot. Bull.*, India, vol. 33: pp. 39–43.

LINNAEUS, C. 1763. Centuria Insectorum. Amoen. Acad., vol. 6: p. 398.

STÅL, C. 1873. Recensio Orthopterorum. Revue Critique des Orthoptères décrit par Linné, de Geer et Thunberg. I. Stockholm. UVAROV, B. P. 1923. A revision of the Old World Cyrtacanthacrini (Orthoptera, Acrididae). — I. Introduction and key to genera. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (9) vol. 11: pp. 130–44.

WALKER, F. 1870. Catalogue of the Specimens of Dermaptera Saltatoria in the

Collection of the British Museum. Part III. London.

SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED CONSERVATION OF NOMADACRIS UVAROV, 1923. Z.N.(S.)2525

By K. McE. Kevan (Lyman Entomological Museum, Macdonald College Campus of McGill University, 21111 Lakeshore Road, Ste Anne de Bellevue, Quebec, Canada, H9X 1CO)

I am fully familiar with all the facts of this case, and as a research worker actively involved with the taxonomic as well as the nomenclatural and economic aspects of the problem, I most strongly urge the Commission to comply with the proposal with the utmost expediency. We are here dealing with the name to be used for one of the world's most important insect pests, as well as with that for another of major economic significance. Delay could result in a dilemma for authors and readers in many fields, if not in widespread confusion.

A decision to give precedence to *Nomadacris* over *Patanga* would appear to be the correct one, despite the fact that the latter genus, in a taxonomic sense, embraces several, not merely a single, species and that at least one of these is of major economic significance. Other concerns aside, it is also 'tidier' to relegate *Patanga* to the status of a junior synonym in view of the problems that previously existed in respect of the type specimen of its type species (see Opinion 998, *Bull. zool.*

Nom. vol. 30, pp. 77-79, 1973).

It is regretted that temporary inconvenience may be caused to those concerned with the Asiatic fauna. On subjective and aesthetic grounds I regret the loss from valid scientific nomenclature (except perhaps as a subgeneric name) of what is, historically, one of the oldest of all appropriately used existing names for an insect genus. Patanga, after all, is old Sanskrit for a flying insect pest, even if it has not always applied specifically to locusts!